Revenue (1948) **Department.**

M.S. **SERIES.**

G.O. NO. MS. 356 Dt 17.2.48

Dated 17 - 2 - 48.

[Despatch Abstract.]

land (Coimbatore) - Kollegal Tk-
M.[illegible] cultivation - permanent assignme

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Subject.-

LAND - (Coimbatore) - Kollegal Taluk - Mulberry cultivation - Permanent assignment of land: G.O.No.Ms.3066 Revenue dated 7-12-43 - Keeping inabayance - Proposals - Submitted.

Station: Madras. L.Dis.No.B.4516/47. Dated:3rd October 1947.

From

T.M.S. Mani Esq., O.B.E., I.C.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue.
S. Ranganathan Esq., OBE., ICS., Commr. of Land Revenue & Commercial Taxes.

**

Read the following:

*From the Collector of Coimbatore Ref.No.3835/47-A5 dated the 10th June 1947.
**From the Director of Industries & Commerce No.D3-2063/47 dated the 11th September 1947.

*Ref: G.O.Ms.No.96 Revenue dated the 15th January 1947.

In their order G.O.Ms.No.3066 Revenue d.7-12-43 the Government sanctioned the permanent assignment of 10,000 acres of assessed and unassessed waste lands in Kollegal Taluk, exclusively for mulberry cultivation. In addition Government also sanctioned the temporar assignment of 20,000 acres of land for cultivation of mulberry or foodcrops.

In G.O.Ms.No.96 Rev. d.15.1.47 communicated with Bd's L.Dis.No.B.2284/46 dated 29-1-47 Government approved the cancellation of the condition regarding exclusive cultivati of mulberry and directed that in all future cases of permanent assignments of lands covered by G.O.3066 d.7.12.43 the condition that only food crops should be cultivated on the lands for a period of two years shall be inserted.

Out of the 10,000 acres set apart for permanent assignment for mulberry cultivati 1534.33 acres have till now been assigned. The total extent under mulberry cultivation in the taluk is 20403.28 acres. The need for augmenting the supply of raw silk for war purpo has ceased and on the other hand the shortage of foodgrains and the eventual necessity of growing more food risen.

Under the existing orders, the position is somewhat anamolous - assigning lan under G.O.3066 d.7.12.43 ostensibly for cultivation of mulberry but not requiring it to planted with mulberry as required under G.O.96 Ms. Rev.d.15.1.47. It is likely that unc the pretext of assignment for mulberry cultivation all good lands will be grasped in de of the existing general ban on assignment of lands in compact blocks. The conditions of gnments under G.O.3066 d.7.12.43 cannot be properly enforced nor infringement of these c ditions watched.

Pto

I therefore suggest that the orders passed in G.O.3066 dated 7-12-43 may be wholly revoked as there is no need for extending the acreage under mulberry and the assignment of lands already made in which mulberry was not cultivated till October 46 may be cancelled.

**Ref: Board's Reference No.B.4516/47-1 dated the 26th July 1947.

The concession of permanent assignment of waste lands for mulberry cultivation was intended to benefit the landless poor ryots. Though this concession was in the first instance granted as a war time measure, under the mulberry expansion scheme, with a view to augment the supplies of raw silk for defence needs, it is essential to continue the concession to stabilise the silk industry during the peace time also, considering the importance of the industry in the rural economy. Government are anxious to extend their full support to the development of the industry in the Province and accordingly, a five year programme for the development of the silk industry has been drawn up and it is now under the consideration of Government. The permanent assignment of waste lands for mulberry cultivation for landless poor, forms one of the prime concessions asked for in the Postwar plan. It is therefore necessary that the concessions ordered in G.O.No.3066 Rev. d.7.12.43 have to be continued, though the actual assignment of lands for mulberry cultivation may have to be taken up soon after the temporary ban imposed in Kollegal taluk under G.O.No.863 Food Dept. dated 9.10.46 is lifted.

It has been suggested also in the Five year plan that the target of mulberry acreage in Kollegal should be 25,000 acres and at present the area stands round about 20,000 acres. In these circumstances, I consider there is no need to revoke wholly the G.O.3066 d.7.12.43 but it may be kept in abeyance till the ban on mulberry cultivation in Kollegal taluk is lifted.

Copy to The Sericultural Expert, Kollegal - He is requested to furnish a copy of his letter No.E.308-S/47 dated 14-4-1947 to this office.

L.DIS: Submitted to the Government.

2. The views of the Director of Industries on the Collector's proposal are contained in his letter cited above. The Board agrees with him and recommends that G.O.Ms.3066 Rev. d. 7-12-1943 may be kept in abeyance till the ban against mulberry cultivation imposed in G.O. Ms.No.863 Rodd dated 9-10-1946 is lifted.

for SECRETARY.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.
The Secretary to Government, Food Department.

lsd.4.10.

Please move to docket

Revenue Department.

Read again: G.O.Ms.No.3066 Rev. dated 7-12-1943.

" " 96 Rev. dated 15-1-1947.

Read also: From the Board of Revenue, L.Dis.No.B.4516/47 dated 3-10-1947.

***

G. O. Ms. No. 356 dated 17.2.48.

In its report read above, the Board of Revenue has recommended that the orders in G.O.Ms.No.3066 Rev. dated 7-12-1943 may be kept in abeyance till the ban on mulberr cultivation is lifted. The attention of the Board is invited to G.O.Ms.No.1172, Food dated 27-10-1947 lifting the ban on mulberry cultivation. The orders in G.O.Ms. No.3066, Revenue dated 7-12-1943 need not therefore be kept in abeyance.

2/. From the letter of the Collector of Coimbatore, Ref.No.3835/47-A5 dated 10-6-1947 submitted with the Board's report read above, it is seen that out of the 10,000 acres set apart for assignment for mulberry cultivation in G.O.Ms.No.3066, Revenue dated 7-12-1943, an extent of 1534-33 acres has till now been assigned and th the total extent under mulberry cultivation in Kollegal taluk at present is 20,403-28 acres. The aim of the Government is to bring 25,000 acres under mulberry cultivation. In order to bring up the total of 25,000 acres, only 4597 acres have to be assigned for mulberry cultivation. The Board of Revenue is requested to issue instructions to the Collector of Coimbatore to restrict future assignments for mulberry cultivation to this extent.

3/. In view of the present critical food situation the Government direct that the remaining extent out of the land originally set apart for mulberry cultivation, namely 3869 acres should be assigned for food crop cultivation for two years, after which period the assignees may cultivate mulberry, if they choose to do so.

5-2-48

B.2-mee

Food secys note

10,000
4,597
5,403
1,534
3,869

To the Bd. of Rev.
the Collr. of Coimbatore
The Development.
Food
Dir. of I & C. thro' Dev.

Sub

Rev

Lr (D) G.O. Ms. 3066 Rev dated 7.12.43
(W) G.O. Ms. 96 Rev dated 15.1.47
of From the Bd of Rev. Lr. B. 4516/4 dated 3.10.47

CM 5628 Fe

G. O. Ms.

The Govt accept the recommendation of the Board of Rev that the orders in G.O Ms. (D) No 3066 Rev. dated 7.12.43 may be kept in abeyance till the ban against mulberry cultivation imposed in G.O. No. 863 Food dated 9.10.46 is lifted

TSK 15/10/47 16/10/47 9.10.47

Note

Rev and Food to for acceptance

TSK 15/10/47 16/10/47

Rev No 5638 Fe/46-1
12x47

101518 DT(W)
20 x(W)

To AS

DEVDEPT

Please see the draft order above. It is understood that the Govt have already decided to lift the ban imposed on mulberry cultivation in G.O No 863 Food dt 9.x.46 ~~The draft order does not~~ also may require modification, if the orders on the appeal ~~therefore necessary to Rect~~

PTO

I panic mentioned at 'x' on p 1 above have noted. Their orders ~~in G.O. No 3066 Rev dy 7-12-47.~~ ~~in abeyance~~. /// With the above remarks be returned to Rev Dept through ~~be passed on to~~ Food Dept. for file may

(A 25 XII) 28/10/47

CPS 27.10.47

Food Rev

Food/Rev

Food (R) Dept.

THIRD ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 21 OCT 1947

The existing ban on the cultivation of mulberry has been removed since vide GOMS NS 1172 Food dated 27.10.47. Rev may therefore be requested that there is no need now to issue the draft order before ~~the~~ draft order or ~~pop~~ pattadar passbooks 56099 suitable ~~rectification~~ be required to

Rev 28.10.47

Rev 30/10/47

suitably revise the draft order or pattadar passbooks in the light of the latest development

31 Oct Rev

Dy E/ 31.10.47 Food (R) Dept. LBRO 56099-R-1/47-1 31.10.47.

Rev. Dept.

To ~~be~~.

Please see the notes from page 1 above. ~~A revised draft memo is submitted for approval~~

6666F

Dy 1.11.47

The aim of the Govt was to bring 25,000 acres under mulberry. We are informed that after assuming 15.34 acres (out of the 10000 acres) the total extent under mulberry has come to 20,403 acres. So to bring up the total of 25,000, only 4597 acres requires to be assumed. In view of this, it is for ~~consideration~~ ordering whether the Govt may be requested to consider ~~that~~ it will be sufficient if ~~other contributions~~ only assumption of only 4597 acres out of 8466 acres will

Z

Z | unassigned ... on leave on existing ... 3867 acres ... cultivation

Dev ... on ... remarks

10/11/43 — The limit of 25,000 acres is only the minimum limit which was considered necessary to keep the two filatures at Kollegal working all through. This was reported in 1943. It is possible that under present day conditions & under post war development the Sericulture industry may require [illegible] of mulberry cultivation

A | Dev ... requested to state whether in these circumstances any restriction should be placed on the extent of mulberry cultivation. If they consider that no such restriction need be placed the Board might be informed that the order in G.O.Ms. No. 3066 Rev dt 7.12.43 need not be kept in abeyance. Two may be consulted later.

B | 17.11.43 Y/S 18-11-43

M |

Rev No 5638F/43

15.11.43 1-13809 D/11

Dev.

DEVELOPMENT

FIFTH ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. 20 NOV 1943 DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

20 Nov TOI

1-17 3F(5)

I this file deals with the recommendation of the Board of Revenue that orders in G.O.No 3066 Rev dt 7-12-43, assigning 10,000 acres of waste lands for mulberry cultivation, may be kept in abeyance till the ban on mulberry cultivation is lifted. Orders have issued removing the ban imposed on mulberry cultivation. This dept and Food dept therefore suggested that the orders in G.O. No 3066 Rev dt 7-12-43

K

4)

need not be kept in abyance.

2. Rev deptt now states that to bring up 25000 acres under mulberry cultivation which was the aim of the Govt only 4597 acres need be assigned ~~thus~~ leaving a surplus of 3869 acres out of the 10000 acres originally assigned. That deptt now enquire whether any restriction need be placed on the extent of mulberry cultivation in order to bring the surplus of 3869 acres under food crop cultivation.

3. In as much as the Govt decided to delete and the list K has imposed on mulberry cultivation, it does not appear necessary to impose any restriction on the extent of mulberry cultivation especially in view of the reasons stated at ~~A~~ on p3 above. This deptt may agree with the suggestion ~~M~~ on p 3 above. | CPS 26.11.47

4. With these remarks the file may be returned to Rev deptt.

23/11/47

CPS 26.11.47

JS 26/11

Rev

Rev ccs no 113809 dt Rev 1-12-47

Revdept

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT REVENUE DEPARTMENT F 1706 2-DEC

Trs

Please see the Rev. depr note on pp 3-4 ante. That depr agrees with the views that the orders in G.O Ms 3066 Rev dt 7-12-47 need not be kept in abeyance in view of the fact that the Govt have lifted the ban on mulberry cultivation. Food may ~~that~~ file may kindly be sent to Food for remarks.

5 ante 2/12/47

THIRD ASSISTANT SECRETARY 5691 1947 FOOD DEPARTMENT

TBR 11.12.47

16.12.47

Rev ho 5678 P4 16/12/47

Food & Agrl. Deptt.

(Last seen by this dept on p.2 ante)

The notes at pp 2 to 4 ante may be perused. This dept may agree with the suggestion at 'M' on p.3 ante.

P 17.12.47 The file may be returned to Revenue.

Please put up the original of G.O. No. 11172-78 of 22/10/47.

25/12/47

(J) The original of the G.O. is portion at (J).

The notes from p.1 above may be perused.

P 3.1.48. Pb 3.1.48 It will be observed that Rev. and Dev are in favour of 'M' on p.3 above. It is however for consideration whether in view of the fact that the food situation continues to be bad this dept. may endorse the suggestion at Z on pp. 2-3 above.

It is noticed that the Agricultural branch of the Dev. dept. has not seen this case and offered its remarks in the matter. The case may therefore be returned to Rev. through Dev

Secy. / Dev / Rev

Central

When the lifting of the ban was suggested, was the fact that the area under mulberry was not likely to exceed 25,000 acres taken into account or were we prepared for any possible extension of mulberry cultivation?

MO 6.1.48

Food (L) Dept.

Secy's query on p 5 ante:- When the ban on the cultivation of mulberry was lifted, the assumption was that the area under there will be no expansion in the area of mulberry cultivation. The assumption was based on the downward trend noticed in the price of silk. Kind attention is invited to the remarks of the DA at 'A' on p 2 c/f in (J). The concurrence of the Dev dept with this dept on the question of lifting the ban on the cultivation of mulberry was based on the assumption and on the fact that the area under mulberry was less than 20000 acres. Kind attention is invited to 'A' on p 8 n/f in (J). The D.I. & C reported that extent under mulberry for 46-47 was 19683 acres. The figures for the current year (which is reported to be 20408 acres) was not available while orders were taken on the question of lifting the ban on mulberry cultivation. The target for this crop ~~reported to in G.O. 3066 Revenue dated 7.12.48 and by~~ also ~~was not~~ in their note at page 4 ante was not before the Govt ~~was not made consideration thereon~~. In view of the facts submitted above, this dept was not prepared for any possible extension of mulberry cultivation; even apart from this, it was considered that the existing restrictions might be removed in as much as their restrictions, while they existed, did not materially added to food production and as the significance of this crop is not of the same importance as cotton, to which etc in the matter of crop control for food production, as remarked by DA.

For the present case, the D.I. & C observes that it is essential to continue the concessions granted in G.O. 3066 Rev dt 7.12.48 in order to stabilise the silk industry considering its importance in the rural economy and that the Govt are anxious to extend their full support to the development of the industry. The BOR has agreed with the remarks of the D.I. & C.

Proposals of this nature are to be examined from the food situation point of view. Whether the ban continues to be kept in force, is for consideration in which case the two suggestions at 'Z' and 'M' on pp 2-3 ante may be agreed to in this dept.

p 2 c/f of (J)
para 2 of (J)
'A', p 8 of (J).
p 9 c/f in (J)
p 1 c/f at X.
X para 2 at p 11a
'B' on p 1-2 c/f in (J)
'A' on p 8 n/f in
(D) pp 17-18 of 'R' and 'R.' on p

S. Ranga Reddy

P.T.O

in G.O. MS. No. 1172 Food dated 27/10/1947. The Board (K)
may be told that, in view of the lifting of the ban on
mulberry cultivation, the orders in G.O. MS. No. 3066 Rev
dated 7/12/43 need not be kept in abeyance.

2. The Collector has stated that, out of the X ans ¶ 2 & 3
10,000 acres ordered to be assigned for mulberry
cultivation, an extent of 1534-33 acres
has till now been assigned, and that the total
extent under mulberry cultivation in Kollegal taluk
is 20403-28 acres. The aim of the Govt was to ¶ 1 & 2 (D)
bring 25,000 acres under mulberry cultivation.
In order to bring up to this total, only 4597 acres
have to be assigned still. ~~This dept has suggested~~
~~that it will be sufficient if only 4597 acres out of~~ ¶ 2 & 3
~~the 8466 acres still to be assigned for mulberry~~
~~cultivation may be assigned for mulberry cultivation~~ is
~~and that the rest may be assigned for food crop~~
~~cultivation~~. The Food Secretary prefers to restrict
the extent to 4597 acres for mulberry cultivation
in view of the present critical food situation and
suggests that the ~~remaining extent out of~~ the 8466 acres vis 3869 ac
may be assigned for food crops for a year or
two, after which period the assignees may be allowed
to grow mulberry if they choose to do so. Development
have agreed with the view of Food Secretary. In the
circumstances, it is for orders whether ~~the Board~~
~~may be told~~ orders may issue as above. Subject
to orders, a draft order is submitted for approval.

7/2/48

In view of the Food Secy's note at
¶ 7 supra, this dept may agree J.S.
to the Mulberry cultivation in Hm (sik)
Kollegal taluk being restricted to
25,000 ac for the present.
The balance of the area maybe assigned
for cultivation of food
crops for 2 yrs & for planting mulberry thereafter.
The draft is for approval

Hm (de) 9/2
Hm (dsk)
Hm (Food) 10/2

26/1424B

This being a question of new assignment of lands at the disposal of government I would prefer to restrict the extent of 4597 acres for mulbe cultivation as suggested at Z at p. 2N/F in view of the present critical food situation. The remaining extent out of 8466 acres may with them come under food crops for a year or two, after which the assignee may be allowed to grow mulberry on it if they chose to do so.

5823 DI/48
15-1-48

.vraj
10/1/48

Dev / Rev.

Rec'd in Food (L) dept 12/1/48

9(2)Rv 66591-A-1/477.
12.1.48

To A.S

Note from p. 1 above may be read in view of K on p. 5 above. It is for consideration whether this Deptt may agree with the suggestion at 'N' above in view of the Food Secretary's remarks there.

Through A III 19/1/48 Dy 2 19/1/48 19.1.48

CAS 21.1.48 AS I / J.S. 25/1 Rev

DW.20
5823 DI/48
23-1-48

Revenue dept.

To A.S.

R 3364F
26/1/48

This file deals with the proposal of the Board of Revenue that the orders in G.O. No. 3066 Rev dated 7/12/1943 ordering the assignment of 10,000 acres of waste lands in Kolligal taluk for mulberry cultivation may be kept in abeyance till the ban on mulberry cultivation is lifted. The ban on mulberry cultivation has been lifte

F1
26/1/48 pp 17-18
9(D)